Manual and rotary instrumentation techniques for root canal preparation in primary molars
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Abstract

Introduction: Although rotary instrumentation has been widely studied in permanent dentition, it is a rather new field of study concerning primary teeth. Purpose: We aimed to evaluate apical displacement and time needed for instrumentation of root canals of primary molars by manual and rotary techniques. Materials and Methods: Root canals of 144 extracted first and second primary maxillary molars were randomly divided into 2 groups: I-manual instrumentation (K-files); II- rotary instrumentation (K3 Rotary System®). The canals were radiographed with pathfinding files in place, prepared by both techniques, and instrumentation time was recorded. After preparation, root canals were radiographed again with pathfinding files in place. To analyze the degree of apical displacement, digital images were superimposed using the Adobe Photoshop® software. Results: Mean apical displacement (0.70 mm) in the manual instrumentation group was not statistically different from that in the rotary instrumentation group (0.79 mm). However, mean time for root canal preparation was significantly shorter using the rotary system (128.0 s) than using the manual system (174.0 s) (p<0.05). Conclusions: The use of rotary instrumentation in pediatric dentistry is feasible, offering time-saving advantages in root canal preparation.

Introduction

The use of rotary instrumentation in pediatric dentistry is a rather new field of study, although it has been widely studied in permanent dentition. Studies have compared rotary with manual instrumentation performance for root canal preparation in permanent teeth. The results have shown no significant differences in the cleaning capacity between techniques, but rotary instrumentation prepared canals more rapidly and uniformly [1,2]. In addition, the rotary instruments can reach the entire length of the root canal, causing little or no displacement, particularly in the apical region [3-5].

Rotary instrumentation characteristics become significantly relevant when dealing with endodontic preparation of primary teeth, since appointment length is a crucial factor for pediatric patient compliance, and maintaining the original path of the root canal is essential to ensure the integrity of the germ of the permanent successor [6,7].

Considering the reduced number of studies on this topic in pediatric dentistry, this study aimed to elucidate the apical displacement produced in root canals and the time needed for root canal preparation in primary teeth by the rotary instrumentation system in comparison with the manual instrumentation technique.

Materials and Methods

Sixty-four primary maxillary molars extracted with no previous endodontic treatment, internal and/or external root resorption, and over two-thirds of resorbed root were selected for the study. After sample size calculation (power of 90% confidence level of 95%), the sample was composed of 144 roots (Table 1): 24 mesiobuccal, 24 distobuccal, and 24 palatal roots of the first primary maxillary molars; and 24 mesiobuccal, 24 distobuccal, and 24 palatal roots of the second maxillary molars. These roots were cleaned with periodontal curettes, decontaminated with 1% sodium hypochlorite and sterilized in 10% formalin. All teeth were previously embedded in clear acrylic-resin blocks. For this purpose, a thin layer of wax was placed on dental apex to seal the inlet channels, and the teeth were sunken until the
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The roots were randomly divided into two groups:
- Group I (n=72): manual instrumentation. (1) coronal opening; (2) irrigation with 1% sodium hypochlorite (performed throughout the preparation); (3) location of root canals (4) root canal instrumentation using K-files: utilization of initial instrument (better fit in the root canal) and three sequential instruments (Figure 3).
- Group II (n=72): rotary instrumentation. (1) coronal opening; (2) irrigation with 1% sodium hypochlorite (performed throughout the preparation); (3) location of root canals (4) root canal instrumentation using K3 Rotary System® (Sybron Endo, Orange, CA, USA) in the sequences # 25/0.8, # 30/0.6, # 25/0.4, and # 25/0.2 (crown-apex direction), set in motion by an Endo Standard Pro Torque® motor (Dentsply, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil) at 250 rpm speed (Figure 4).

After biomechanical preparation, root canals were placed again on the radiographic platform and radiographed in proximal and frontal directions, with pathfinding files in place, being careful to maintain the same standard conditions (position and other exposure factors).

Post-biomechanical preparation radiographs were digitally superimposed over their pre-preparation counterparts using the Adobe Photoshop® software (Figure 5). A straight line was drawn to connect the tips of the instruments inside the canals, thus generating two displacement measurements: one for frontal and one for proximal view. Real apical displacement was measured (in millimeters) by a pre-calibrated examiner, blinded to technique assignment, by calculating the third side of a triangle constructed by the measurement of the displacement obtained from frontal and proximal view. Reproducibility of the results was verified every five images analyzed.

Statistical analysis was performed with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software, version 14.0, and significance level of 5%. Data regarding apical displacement were compared using the Kruskal-Wallis test and the Mann-Whitney test. Differences in instrumentation time were statistically analyzed with ANOVA, post hoc Tukey's test, and the

Student's t test.

### Results
In the manual group, the lowest apical displacement was observed in the distobuccal root of the first primary maxillary molar (0.15 mm) and the greatest apical displacement was found in the mesiobuccal roots of the first and second primary maxillary molars (1.64 mm). In regard to the time, palatal root of the second maxillary molar showed the lowest value (121 s), and the highest value was observed in the mesiobuccal root of the second primary maxillary molar (416 s).

In the rotary group, the lowest value for apical displacement was observed in the palatal root of the first primary maxillary molar (0.10 mm) and the greatest value in the distobuccal root of the first primary maxillary molar (3.09 mm). The shortest instrumentation time in the rotary technique was observed in the palatal root of the second primary maxillary molar (78...
s) and the longest time in the mesiobuccal
device. The longest time in the mesiobuccal

Table 3: Analysis of time (in seconds) comparing the techniques used (rotary vs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tooth</th>
<th>Root</th>
<th>Rotary</th>
<th>Manual</th>
<th>p*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1PMM</td>
<td>Mesiobuccal</td>
<td>163.3</td>
<td>181.8</td>
<td>0.410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1PMM</td>
<td>Distobuccal</td>
<td>149.3</td>
<td>244.6</td>
<td>0.007*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1PMM</td>
<td>Palatal</td>
<td>131.7</td>
<td>151.7</td>
<td>0.042*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2PMM</td>
<td>Mesiobuccal</td>
<td>123.7</td>
<td>256.0</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2PMM</td>
<td>Distobuccal</td>
<td>133.0</td>
<td>206.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2PMM</td>
<td>Palatal</td>
<td>111.1</td>
<td>145.9</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1PMM=first primary maxillary molar; 2PMM=secondary primary maxillary molar.

| Statistically significant p values (p<0.05). Student t test. |

root of the first primary maxillary molar (296s).
The evaluation of apical displacement for each root type, after post hoc analysis for means, comparing manual and rotary techniques, showed no statistically significant differences (Table 2).

With regard to the time needed for instrumentation using manual and rotary techniques, within the same root type group, statistically significant differences were observed in all root types, except for the mesiobuccal root of the first primary maxillary molar. The shortest time for instrumentation was achieved with the rotary instrumentation technique (Table 3).

An overall data analysis, regardless of root type, showed no significant differences when comparing the degree of apical displacement within manual and rotary techniques. However, considering instrumentation time, the rotary technique needed a significantly shorter time than the manual technique.

Discussion

This study sample was composed of extracted primary teeth, aiming to reproduce preparation conditions as similar as possible to in vivo conditions, since standardized root canals exclude some variables found in natural teeth [8]. Furthermore, the reduced number of studies using rotary instrumentation in primary teeth [6,7,9-19] justifies the comparison of our findings with some studies in permanent teeth [1,2,20-25].

With regard to apical displacement, there were no statistically significant differences between techniques, when root types and groups were analyzed altogether, although displacements occurred in all root types and in several ways. These results are consistent with those found by Nazari Moghaddam et al. [9], Azar, Mokhtar [10], and Iqbal et al. [20], but disagree with the results found by Loizides et al. [21], which may be explained by the fact that simulated root canals were used, as well as a rotary system different than the one employed in the present study.

Furthermore, the highest values for apical displacement produced in mesiobuccal roots, which usually show a higher degree of curvature [8], occurred using the manual instrumentation technique. In distobuccal and palatal roots, however, the highest values were obtained with rotary instrumentation. Elsallati et al. [22] showed that K3 Rotary System® produces minimum wear of root canal walls, which is an interesting aspect in the endodontic preparation of primary teeth. Moreover, Crespo et al. [11] and Musale, Mucawar [12] stated that rotary files prepared more conical canals in primary teeth than manual instruments.

When analyzing canal curvature, it is well known that the degree of curvature does not affect the performance of K3 Rotary System® [23,24] validating the good performance of this system in mesiobuccal roots observed in this study.

In the present study, two instrument fractures were observed, both with K3 Rotary System®, although this is considered one of the safest systems [23,25]. Nagaratha et al. [13], working on primary teeth, already pointed out as a disadvantage of the rotary system its higher fracture rate.

Two root perforations occurred in the present study, both in the rotary instrumentation group. Only one [14] of the previous studies performed in primary teeth [6,7,9-13,15-18] reported such event, which might be attributed to the operator’s level of skill in using the system. Moreover, Kummer et al. [14] stated that in some specimens, root perforations were observed in areas coinciding with largest root resorption.

In regard to instrumentation time, when the groups were analyzed altogether, there was a significant difference between techniques, and the shortest preparation time was achieved with the rotary technique. The present results corroborate those findings in the existing literature, on instrumentation performed in primary teeth, which describe quick preparation as the main advantage of using rotary instruments in pediatric dentistry [6,7,9-19].

Our data showed significant differences in time needed for instrumentation between roots within the same instrumentation group (manual or rotary). The palatal root of the maxillary second molar needed the shortest time for instrumentation, in both techniques, because it is straight and wide, whereas the longest instrumentation time was observed in the preparation of mesial roots, because they are curved and slender [8].

We also observed that the highest values in time needed for instrumentation were ob-
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tained with the manual technique, in all root types, except for the mesial root of the first primary maxillary molar. Therefore, we can state that root anatomy affects instrumentation time, since deviant root anatomy leads to longer instrumentation time for both the manual and rotary technique.

The results obtained in the present study are quite satisfactory concerning the reduction of instrumentation time by the rotary technique, a fact already reported in previous studies in primary teeth. However, there was no statistical significant difference between techniques concerning apical displacement when root types and groups were analyzed altogether, although displacements occurred in all root types and in several ways.

Pinheiro et al. [19] stated that endodontic treatment in children may be challenging and time consuming, especially during root canal preparation, which is one of the most important stages of endodontic therapy. Considering that the rotary instruments provide similar root canal cleaning compared to manual instruments with a shorter instrumentation time, their utilization is well indicated in Pediatric Dentistry.

In conclusion, rotary instrumentation is feasible and an important tool to be used in the endodontic preparation of primary teeth since it requires a shorter clinical time from the pediatric patient.
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